

MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING

MONDAY 29 July 2024, 7pm, Clubroom

Parish Councillors: Paul Gaskell (Chairman), Pauline Hedges, Nick Walker; Clerk Susan Turner; Guests BDBC Council Leader Cllr Paul Harvey, Portfolio Holder for Strategic Planning & Infrastructure Cllr Andy Konieczko; Revd Debbie Veel; Members of public 6

1 WELCOME & APOLOGIES

Apologies received from Chris Alliston and Paul Ghent; also from Jo Wright.

BDBC UPDATE – Address by Council Leader, Cllr Paul Harvey and Portfolio for Strategic Planning & Infrastructure Cllr Andy Konieczko.

Cllr Harvey began by emphasising the importance placed on the Natural Environment and Nature Recovery. But moved quickly on to the Government announcements – on the Spending Review, and on the NPPF and increased house building targets which, at the time of the meeting, was for the following day. Comment that Government has 'hit the ground at 90 mile an hour'.

Hospital – Reports in press over the weekend, confirmed by announcement today, that there is not and has not been funding allocated for a new hospital.

Basingstoke is towards the back of the Spending review programme – in a 'fourth column' presently not funded – largely because hospital buildings with RAAC (Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete) are prioritised – which has to be the case.

This does not mean there is definitely won't be funding, Rachel Reeves' words were: 'I will review the hospital build programme.' For there to be funding, the Spending Review has allocate funding. Will keep fighting to be kept in the frame. Options are J7 and redevelopment of existing site.

The findings from the NHS consultation are about to be released. Noting hospitals have done everything asked of them in terms of engagement and consultation. (Comment that while accessing the hospital service is hard, when get there provision remains very good.)

Central Government can impose planning requirements – they can take a different view of playing permissions. But local government has at right to have its voice heard.

Re housing development and numbers, the Local Plan Update Reg 18 consultation received c7K responses and these being evaluated. This based on the 'stepped trajectory' of 700 new homes for first five years and then 900 per annum after that to meet Government'spresent Standard Methodology targets.

Challenge now to go through to go through all responses – bearinging mind responses received from all interest groups, including developers – Competing interests at play. Last week would have said will be working on this over the summer – updating details of policies and site allocation. But now Government is announcing NPPF consultation tomorrow. Press reports over weekend suggest councils will overall need to increase new housing provision by 50%– which would bring us nearer 1200 homes per annum. If that is the case, will need to start again.

Hoping that Plans which have reached a certain stage will be able to continue. If so will be moving on that at 90mph to achieve. Then will have the LPU Policies and 700 figure in place for the next five years.

Independent Forum believes the right number of new homes for the borough is 700 per year for life of the Plan Period up to 2040. If required to build 1,200, the discrepancy between what is being required, and what is needed will be so much greater.

Comment that the number of large new home don't help the overall housing situation. \underline{A} – Hoping to keep the present planning allocation of 40% affordable homes – which developers often say not viable. Unfortunately situation that developers have level of control, if not sufficiently profitable for them to build, they will sit on land. Government saying build so many houses but developers won't build if losing their profit margins.

| For | signature | (n1) | of 5) | |
|-----|------------|------|----------|--|
| ıuı | Sidilatule | (DI | 01 31 | |

Re service provision, the Local Planning Authority can only do so much; can require buildings for eg GP surgery but needs government to fund the staff; can require a school to be included in a development plan but requires HCC to agree and to fund its operation.

Affordable housing – <u>Question</u> raised re shared ownership – Discssion – Home owners buy maybe 40% and are often looking to buy the lowest percentage – then take out a 90% mortgage on that percentage. But the Housing Association owns the rest, takes the increase in value of rest, and also charges rent at a fixed rent rise. Comment that shared ownership doesn't work. People get trapped with often their only option to sell back to the Housing Association. People equally trapped in rented housing; rent often more than a mortage, but can't access a mortage. Also steep increases in landlords' buy-to-let mortgages. The market at the moment proving 'affordable' rented housing at 80% of market value is not affordable and not affordable for those on the housing list. 'Social' rent at 50 per cent this is generally affordable.

Forcing through greater housing numbers won't solve the problems. Developers not going to release housing where this results in falling house prices.

Touched on issues in the construction industry, land values a problem, also massive inflation in construction costs. Linden Homes, Hounsome Fields developer recently bought out by Bovis Homes (now Vistry Group).

Build quality Also not just where build but what is built. The Local Plan Update seeks to establish a framework to control the type of development.

Advantage of Manydown is that BDBC 'own' the land which gives a measure of control. But... where previously looking to Modular housing – UK companies gone out of business because so exposed to the market. Is about Central Government decisions, and the UK is not producing the workforce needed.

Looking for overall connectivity, highway to north and south, also Strategic Gap with Oakley to be protected. Manydown North will provide 3.5 houses. BDBC will work with developers – looking to 'build beautiful' (Cllr Cubitt's remit).

Land ownership <u>Question</u> re Manydown North and land 'ownership'. At the moment borough and county each have 50% option? What will ownership look like when the current handover is completed? Answer: Original option agreement made in 1996; then a deal struck in 2013 which locked the Councils in partnership with developer Urban & Civic. Not an agreement would have undertaken now, but Urban & Civic are a reputable company. They will have overall responsibility for development and developers. Land will be divided into 'service parcels' for different companies to develop. Interest has been logged from c60 developers, also Housing Associations. BDBC (and County?) will have 'ownership' of the whole site but via the development companies. So will have this measure of control.

MOTO MSA M3 J6 – See Planning at 8.3, to encourage residents to respond.

Waste collection and recycling <u>Question</u> – to quickly cover question of changes to bin collections. Answer – Local Government must respond to Environment Act requirement for separate food waste collections and to meet 65% recycling targets. So proposal is to start weekly food waste collections from October 2025. Food waste will go to anaerobic digester; everyone will be provided with a year's supply liners ('biodegradable' – but the processing system removes and process the bin liners separately). HCC will make greater provision for what can be included in plastic recycling (and question whether glass will also go in with this). Intention is to remove a third of waste from Grey Bin, this only way will meet 65% target to bring down tonnage required by Environment Act. Grey bin collections will then reduce to fortnightly from September 2026. To feature in the *Villager*.

Comment that this system works well in Surrey. An established system in most boroughs in Hampshire; BDBC at the moment near the bottom of the recycling table. Money is specifically allocated; there will be an ongoing cost of not taking action. Noted BDBC has joint Waste Services with Hart, but not tied to the same processes.

Issue of rats mentioned. Yes problem with rat populations – bring dogs in, not poison - but this not related to bin collections.

Cabinet will consider and decide on this tomorrow; once decision made, it will then go to Scrutiny Committee. Will be public information campaign once formally decided.

Borough Councillors left the meeting at 8.20pm with the thanks of all.

| For signature | (p2 of 5 |) |
|---------------|----------|---|
|---------------|----------|---|

3 PUBLIC SESSION

Heather Row – Complaint received via email from local resident re condition of road surface of Heather Row – mud and detritus on road due to logging work.

This is an adopted Highway – (Road Number: 02104778; Road Class: U, Unclass Adopted Metalled; Road Reference: 71U245 TL 05) but as no longer a through-road, not something HCC would have a budget to generally maintain. Resident has approached County and Landowner and found no willingness to clean the road. Though the Estate has been responsive regarding other issues raised regarding access and safety.

Resident's point of view that muddy road not fair on other road users – including vehicles and pedestrians – walkers and joggers – the land is well used by pedestrians.

A counter perspective may be that users of the lane enjoy it because it is in the countryside. The countryside is a working environment. Where mud on the road is a safety concern its very important. Where it's on a single lane track which turns into an unmetalled byway (BOAT – byway open to all traffic) a requirement to constantly clean the surface might not be proportionate.

Discussion:

- Agreement from member of the public that the road left in a poor state.
- Agreement that landowner is generally responsible for cleaning vehicles brought onto a public road.
- Due to nature of the road, no road safety issue.
- Parish Council has no powers in this regard, other than to request the Parish Lengthsmen to clean the road which agreed not the best use of their time.

Agreed no Action for the Parish Council to usefully take.

Village Green Concerns raised re insufficient cutting of Newnham Green verges and in ditches. Answer: more frequent cutting regime has been employed in vicinity of Crossroads and Crown Lane and all junction sight lines.

Further commented that sight lines still poor from Ridge Lane looking left to Newnham Lane. Answer: In this vicinity the verges are already cut back to the ditch. Agreed to look at further and cut back the fringe vegetation on the Green side of the ditches.

Acknowledged brambles are growing in ditches in places. Ditch clearing focusses on function – on ditches that take the most water and where the road has potential to flood – including keeping grips clear. The Green ditches were dug to restrict access, not for drainage, the ditch to the Tylney Lane side of the main Green takes little water as it is on the higher side of the main Green; the camber of the road pushes water to the other side. The Ragwort is being pulled up, bagged and removed, in stages, it will all be removed well before seeding.

Agreed to act on residents' concerns the Green should look more 'tidy'. Noted the Green is a SINC and the Parish Council has a statutory duty to conserve and enhance biodiversity.

- Newnham Road ditches Issue raised re failure of HCC Highways to clear the ditch along Newnham Road which previously they had committed to do. Action, resident to forward correspondence for the Parish Council to follow up.
- **Openreach manhole cover** near the edge of the metalled road a hazard as the manhole grid constantly lifted by passing traffic. Openreach respond to correspondence but fail to fix the problem. Action, resident to forward correspondence for the Parish Council to follow up, and to copy to HCC Highways.
- Heavy vehicles using Newnham Road and causing houses to shake. Noted that there is no weight limit for Newnham Road. Milk collection lorry was mentioned and this is providing essential agricultural service. Suggestion for residents to write to the companies concerned to request they ask their drivers to slow down in Newnham Road.
- **Traffic speed** Suggestion for 20mph in Newnham Road. The 20mph sign in Rotherwick, cited but this an advisory sign only in relation to the school. There is an option for the Parish Council to request and fund a 20mph limit, but specific criteria are required. Unlikely to meet the speed requirement, but an option to pay for HCC to conduct a traffic survey.

| For signature | (p2 of 5 |) |
|---------------|----------|---|
|---------------|----------|---|

- .7 Newnham 900 A Village fete-type celebration in character of the Queen's Jubilee event will be held to mark the estimated 900 years of the St Nicholas' Church building, though the settlement itself will be much older. For weekend of 14th 15th June thought there may be smaller events leading up to this. Looking for all suggestions and ideas. Nigel Bill is writing a history of the Village. A question raised regarding including people and personalities? Discussion Of course to avoid any controversy and to seek approval of families. People make communities and good to remember them. Victoria County History (VCH) are keen to encourage local history publications and potentially may be able to help with publishing; though noting VCH has to find all its own funding.
- **4 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS** of 10 June, agreed and to be signed.
- 5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, none
- **PARISH ASSEMBLY** scheduled for 30 September at the Iron Bull.

Speakers

- 1. Newnham 900 (c20 mins).
- 2. Newnham Green and Ponds (HIWARG) c40mins as below.

AGREED To request focus on Newnham Green, rather than wider work of HIWARG.

- i 5 mins 'We are HIWARG'
- ii 5 mins Our involvement with the ponds: GCN, Crassula, surrounding areas
- iii 15 mins 'deep dive' into the ponds and wider green habitats and corridors
- iv 10 mins Why conservation is important, development creep, habitat fragmentation, State of Nature Report 2023 (key points), widespread wildlife declines, localised extinctions
- v 5 mins Maintaining what is working well and how to improve on it.

Agenda items

- 3. Traffic and speed Newnham Road
- 4. A30 ('Hatch to Hogget'?)

AGREED Further discussion defered due to time constraints and not all Parish Councillors present. Assembly date to be published in August *Villager*, full details in September *Villager*.

7 FINANCE 2024/25

- .1 Internal audit report APPENDIX I
- .2 Accounts to date APPENDIX II

Payments since last meeting

| 10 | Pomona Fruits – Veg Trug x 3 for Water End | £590.85 |
|----|--|---------|
| 11 | Clerk - Salary June | £360.00 |
| 12 | Peter – Internal audit | £75.00 |
| 13 | Clerk – Salary July | £360.00 |

Income of note since last meeting

BDBC Ward Cllr Community Grant 2023/24, £541 towards Water End raised bed planters. Bank reconciliation @ £20,895.90

VAT claim submitted for £3,760.59 which is up to the start of this financial year.

- .3 Budget update APPENDIX III
- **BDBC Ward Cllr Community Grant** open for expressions of interest to be submitted by September. Monitoring report for 2023/24 (planters) is due.
- **.5 BDBC Community Infrastructure Fund** (CIF) 2024/25. First round application submitted for new Water End bus shelter.

8 PLANNING

- .1 Planning applications APPENDIX IV
 - No new planning applications for discussion.
 - To note proposed new house for 24/01107/FUL Land at The Barracks withdrawn.

Tree application for discussion

<u>T/00347/24/TCA</u> (Validated 16 Jul 2024) St Nicholas' Church T1 Magnolia: fell. T2 Apple: fell T3 Norway maple: fell. T4 Ash: fell. T5 Horse chestnut: fell.

Noted that this application submitted by Crown Lodge, not by the Church. Only the Ash

For signature (p4 of 5)

and Horse Chestnut are within the Churchyard. Advice from the VCC (Village Church Committee) that, should the BDBC be minded to allow felling of the Churchyard trees, the applicant would need to apply for faculty to do so from the Diocese of Winchester.

AGREED The Parish Council will assess the trees and consider its response to BDBC.

- Local Plan Update Reg 18 has published a list and spreadsheet of all respondents email of 15 July (response from Newnham Parish Council at line 1580).
 The email advises that Reg 19 is scheduled to be published for consultation in the New Year. See item 2 above Borough Councillor discussion re imminent changes to the NPPF and standard methodology. To feature in the Villager.
- Moto (MSA M3J6) To submit response to EIA consultation. APPENDIX V. 17/03487/FUL (Validated Nov 2017) Land Adjacent to M3J6. Construction of a new Motorway Service Area (MSA) to comprise an amenity building, lodge, drive thru coffee unit, associated car, coach, motorcycle, caravan, HGV and abnormal load parking, and a fuel filling station with retail shop, together with alterations to the adjoining roundabout on the M3 and slip roads to form an access point and works to the highway. Provision of landscaping, infrastructure and ancillary works. Consultation date at the moment to 07 August. To feature in the Villager. (Noting Winslade has instructed a hydrogeologist.)
- .4 Lodge Farm Warehousing Continues awaiting officer response to Pre-app.

9 FURTHER REPORTS AND UPDATES

Old Skool Neverland Festival – Ref updates from Old Basing Parish Council, latest at APPENDIX V. Event organisers applied for new licence for a alternative venue at Land off Ashmore Lane (Hodds Farm). However, members of the Safety Advisory Group meeting of 09 July were advised the festival was to revert to its original location at Wildwood Farm. As such an updated Traffic Management Plan was expected to capture – the road closure and marshalling information for The Street, Riley Lane, Newnham Lane, Poors Farm Road, Ashmoor Lane, Water End Lane – plus the temporary speed limit reduction(s) and traffic signals provision on the A30 London Road. To feature in the Villager.

10 NEXT MEETINGS

- .1 Parish Assembly Monday 30 September, Iron Bull.
- .2 Next Parish Council meetings suggested date Mon 28 October, Clubroom tbc.

Meeting closed at 9.45pm with thanks to all

| For signature (p5 of 5) \cdot | |
|---------------------------------|--|
|---------------------------------|--|

APPENDIX I - ACCOUNTS TO DATE

NEWNHAM PARISH COUNCIL INCOME 2024/25 - 27 July 2024

| Balance bro | ught forward 1st April 2024 | | | | | | | | £17,468.94 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------|
| | | | Grass | | LM | Vat | Vat | Bank | Total |
| Date | Item | Precept | grant | Other grant | income | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | Interest | Receipts |
| 30/04/24 | Parish Precept 6 months | £6,708.00 | | | | | | | £6,708.00 |
| 30/04/24 | BDBC Grass Cutting Grant | | £351.93 | | | | | | £351.93 |
| 26/06/24 | BDBC Ward Cllr Community-planters | | | £541.00 | | | | | £541.00 |
| 26/06/24 | HCC contribution to external audit | | | | £210.00 | | | | £210.00 |
| 2024-25 | Bank Interest | | | | | | | £76.08 | £76.08 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | £6,708.00 | £351.93 | £541.00 | £210.00 | £0.00 | £0.00 | £76.08 | £7,887.01 |
| | | | | | | | | | £7,887.01 |
| | | | Interest | April | £18 51 | | | | |

| RECEIPTS & PAYMENTS SUMI | RECEIPTS & PAYMENTS SUMMARY | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bal brought forward 1st April 2024 | £17,468.94 | | | | | | |
| Plus income | £7,887.01 | | | | | | |
| Minus expenditure | £4,460.05 | | | | | | |
| Balance | £20,895.90 | | | | | | |
| BANK RECONCILIATION | BANK RECONCILIATION | | | | | | |
| bus instant access | £29,503.03 | | | | | | |
| Treasurers | £1,892.87 | | | | | | |
| Minus LM funding remaining | £11,250.00 | | | | | | |
| PLUS LM VAT paid 2024/25 | £750.00 | | | | | | |
| Balance | £20,895.90 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Vat relaim pending | £3,760.59 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

TREASURERS ACCOUNT 3
PARISH COUNCIL OF NEW

£ 1,892.87 curre

£1,892.87 Available fund

Take customer card payments



Take card

A pocket-sized c businesses who their premises o

PARISH COUNCIL OF NEW! £ 29,503.03

| NEWNHAM PARISH COUNCIL - EXPENDITURE 2024/25 - 27 Jul 2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| No | Inv date | Date paid | Supplier | Description | Finance / Governance | Salary | Expenses | Community | Villager | GREEN | Mainten- ance | Project | VAT | Total |
| 1 | 02/04/24 | 31/05/23 | Kieren Parkes | WE -Planter | | | | | | | | £600.00 | | £600.00 |
| 2 | 08/04/24 | 31/05/23 | HALC | HALC & NALC subs | £314.00 | | | | | | | | | £314.00 |
| 3 | April | 28/04/24 | Clerk | Salary APRIL | | £360.00 | | | | | | | | £360.00 |
| 4 | May | 01/06/24 | Clerk | Salary MAY | | £360.00 | | | | | | | | £360.00 |
| 5 | 24/05/24 | 24/05/24 | STHayes & Finch Ltd | D-day candles | | | | £75.00 | | | | | £9.99 | £84.99 |
| 6 | 06/05/24 | 01/06/24 | BHIB | Insurance (2nd yer tie-in) | £427.92 | | | | | | | | | £427.92 |
| 7 | 29/05/24 | 09/06/24 | GoDaddy | Domain renewal-12months | £23.20 | | | | | | | | £4.64 | £27.84 |
| 8 | 07/06/24 | 10/06/24 | Villager | Print and Ed contrib 2024/25 | | | | | £854.00 | | | | | £854.00 |
| 9 | 07/06/24 | 10/06/24 | Villager | Colour for centre spread June | | | | | £45.45 | | | | | £45.45 |
| 10 | 14/06/24 | 14/06/24 | Pomona Fruites | Veg Truck x 3 | | | | | | | | £492.39 | £98.46 | £590.85 |
| 11 | June | 01/06/24 | Clerk | Salary JUNE | | £360.00 | | | | | | | | £360.00 |
| 12 | 27/06/24 | 18/07/24 | Peter Brown | Intenral audit | £75.00 | | | | | | | | | £75.00 |
| 13 | July | 27/07/24 | Clerk | Salary July | | £360.00 | | | | | | | | £360.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | TOTALS | | £840.12 | £1,440.00 | £0.00 | £75.00 | £899.45 | £0.00 | £0.00 | £1,092.39 | £113.09 | £4,460.05 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | £4,460.05 |
| | Inv date | Date paid | Supplier | Description | Finance / Governance | Salary | Expenses | Community | Villager | GREEN | Mainten- ance | Project | VAT | Total |

APPENDIX II – BUDGET UPDATE

| YEAR END COMPARISION | | @ 27 JULY | 2024/25 | 2023/24 | 24/25 BUDGET |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|---|
| 2022/23 | 2023/24 | NEWNHAM | TO DATE | LATEST EST JULY | DRAFT |
| Year End | Year End | NEWNHAW | | ESTJULT | (JAN 24) |
| | | INCOME | | | <u> </u> |
| £12,525.00 | £12,900.00 | Precept | £6,708.00 | £13,416.00 | £13,416.00 |
| | £1,000.00 | Grant (other) | | | |
| £348.45 | £351.93 | Grass cutting grant | £351.93 | £351.93 | £369.46 |
| £413.00 | | CountyCllr grant | | | |
| £1,500.00 | £450.65 | Ward Cllr grants | £541.00 | £541.00 | |
| | | CIF funding | | £9,000.00 | |
| | | | | | |
| £36.35 | £239.45 | Interest | £76.08 | £170.00 | £170.00 |
| | | Returned funds | | | |
| £200.00 | £200.00 | LM income for audit | £210.00 | £210.00 | £200.00 |
| £421.27 | | VAT reclaim (22/24) | | £3,760.59 | |
| | | VAT reclaim | | £113.09 | |
| £15,444.07 | £15,642.03 | Total Income | £7,887.01 | £27,562.61 | £14,155.46 |
| | | EXPEND | | | |
| £216.00 | £324.00 | Clerk allowance | | £324.00 | £324.00 |
| | £50.00 | Expenses | | £100.00 | · - |
| £946.69 | | Finance/Governance | £840.12 | £1,122.92 | £1,250.00 |
| | , | Training | | £200.00 | + - |
| £4,320.00 | £4,320.00 | Salary | £1,440.00 | £4,320.00 | £4,752.00 |
| , | , | Capital | , , | , | , |
| £1,110.56 | £782.65 | Maintenance | | £800.00 | £800.00 |
| , | | Clubroom | | | |
| | | PROJECTS' | | £10,500.00 | £5,000.00 |
| | | A30/ gateways | | | |
| £154.49 | £113.21 | Community | £75.00 | £500.00 | |
| £933.23 | £1,000.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | £552.75 | Project-Green | | | |
| | | Project - bus shelter | | £9,000.00 | |
| | | Project - planters | £1,092.39 | £1,092.39 | |
| | | Project-GreenAccess | | _ | |
| £1,304.42 | £386.18 | Green | | £1,500.00 | £1,500.00 |
| £678.49 | | Villager | £899.45 | £944.90 | |
| £770.19 | £3,341.34 | VAT | £113.09 | £113.09 | £0.00 |
| £10,434.07 | £12,811.85 | Total Expanditure | £4,460.05 | £30,517.30 | £14,926.00 |
| 10,434.07 | £12,011.05 | Total Expenditure | 24,400.00 | £30,517.30 | 14,920.00 |
| 05.040.00 | 00.000.40 | 01100111070====== | 00.400.00 | 00.054.00 | 0770.54 |
| £5,010.00 | £2,830.18 | SURPLUS/DEFECIT | £3,426.96 | £2,954.69 | £770.54 |
| £14,638.76 | £17,468.94 | BALANCE | £20,895.90 | £11,684.07 | £11,641.37 |
| 00/00 | 20/04 | | 000// | 2023/24 | 24/25 |
| 22/23 | 23/24 | | 2024/25 | LATEST | BUDGET |
| Year End | Year End | Item | TO DATE | EST JULY | DRAFT |
| | | | | | (JAN 24) |

APPENDIX III - PLANNING UPDATE 29 JULY

NEW / RECENT APPLICATIONS

T/00347/24/TCA (Validated 16 Jul 2024) St Nicholas' Church T1 Magnolia: fell. T2 Apple: fell T3 Norway maple: fell. T4 Ash: fell. T5 Horse chestnut: fell.

APPLICATIONS DECIDED OR PENDING

- <u>24/01107/FUL</u> (Withdrawn 18 July, Validated 24 May 2024) Land At The Barracks. Erection of a detached dwellinghouse with associated parking and amenity space.
- <u>24/01058/HSE</u> (Granted 12 July, Validated 17 May 2024) Wisteria Cottage, Ridge Lane Newnham. Erection of a two storey rear extension, first floor extension, front porch, alterations to fenestration and removal of side chimney. Installation of solar panels and 'flat' lantern to hidden part of roof and new roof over existing garage.
- <u>24/00882/LBC</u> (Pending, Validated 16 Apr 2024) Nightingale Cottage, Heather Row. Demolition of existing south entrance porch, changes to fenestration, and erection of new porch with access ramp in revised position. Alterations to existing conservatory and replacement of glass roof with tiled roof. Various internal and external alterations.
- <u>24/00715/FUL</u> (Pending, Validated 26 Mar 2024) Land At Blackstocks Lane. Erection of 1no. dwelling with associated garage, landscaping and a new access (Part retrospective).
- <u>24/00712/FUL</u> (Pending, Validated 26 Mar 2024) Land East Of Naishes Barn) Erection of agricultural barn with hard surface apron and extended access track.
- <u>23/02722/FUL</u> (Pending 02 Nov) Land East Of Keepers, London Road Nately Scures. The erection of 3no. detached dwellinghouses together with associated new access, car parking, drainage and hard and soft landscaping.

ODIHAM PREAPP - LODGE FARM WAREHOUSING

23/02242/PREAPP (Validated 09 Oct 2023) Lodge Farm, Hook Road, North Warnborough. Outline application for circa 105,000 sqm GIA of commercial logistics floorspace (Use Class B2/B8) with ancillary office accommodation, associated car parking provision, plus landscaping and highway works.

Awaiting Officer response

MOTO MSA (OLD BASING)

17/03487/FUL (Validated 02 Nov 2017) Land Adjacent to Junction 6 M3

Construction of a new Motorway Service Area (MSA) to comprise an amenity building, lodge, drive thru coffee unit, associated car, coach, motorcycle, caravan, HGV and abnormal load parking, and a fuel filling station with retail shop, together with alterations to the adjoining roundabout on the M3 and slip roads to form an access point and works to the highway. Provision of landscaping, infrastructure and ancillary works.

New consultation on EIA

DUMMER - WAREHOUSING APPLICATION J7

<u>23/03120/FUL</u> (Pending 19 Dec) Land At Oakdown Farm, Winchester Road. Demolition of three dwellings, outbuildings etc and construction of storage and distribution units... etc. Now 341 objections, 15 supporting.

APPENDIX IV - OLD SKOOL NEVERLAND FESTIVAL

INFORMATION FROM HIGHWAYS OFFICER - EMAIL 09 JULY:

Enquiry Ref. 21750436. Old Skool Neverland Festival. Old basing.

Thank you for your email received on the 8th of July 2024 concerning the meeting which took place on the same date between Old basing Parish Council and Mr Carberry representing the Old Skool Neverland Festival.

The role of the County Council as the highway authority within the Safety Advisory Group (SAG) is to accept or reject the traffic management plan based upon its content and general understanding of the highway environment around an event and any likely impacts. The authority would never agree the traffic management plan, this is because it is expected that event organisers will employ a competent traffic management company to understand the risks and challenges that the event will bring to an area and develop their plan based upon their knowledge or detailed observations.

In the case of the Old Skool Neverland Festival the Traffic Management Plan has not yet been accepted. The reason for this is that up until the SAG meeting on the 9th of July SAG members were under the impression that the festival was to be relocated to land between Ashmoor Lane and the A30 London Road and that a new Traffic Management Plan was to be provided.

However, during the SAG, the event organisers informed members that the festival would now revert to its original location at Wildwood Farm and whilst there are the workings of a Traffic Management Plan for roads around Wildwood Farm, they are now three months out of date.

I have therefore requested a revised version of the Traffic Management Plan which I expect to capture the road closure and marshalling information for The Street, Riley Lane, Newnham Lane, Poorsfarm Road, Ashmoor Lane, Water End Lane and the temporary speed limit reduction(s) and traffic signals provision on the A30 London Road.

This information was promised to SAG members on the 10th of July however to date no such revised plan has been received.

INFORMATION FROM OLD BASING PARISH COUNCIL

Old Basing PC understanding is that the TMP is not a public document and is not therefore available to anyone other than those on the SAG / Licensing Committee; we are therefore reliant on the Highways Officer to ensure this meets Highways' requirements and to update us on what those requirements are.

Old Basing PC did reply to the latest Licensing Application relating to the field just off the A30 despite being aware that this had subsequently been withdrawn (Licencing have now emailed confirming this) as it was important the Licensing Team were aware that regardless of the location, the roads around Old Basing/Newnham and, indeed, access from the A30 are just not suitable. Our other concerns were that, due to the low number of car parking spaces booked and the statement by the organisers that most tickets had been sold locally (RG area), visitors would mainly arrive on foot. We feel this is unlikely and that many of those will be familiar with parking facilities in and around Old Basing and just park there free of charge and walk the remaining distance.

The revised TMP should have been made available by now and an update has been requested.

MOTO's proposal for a Motorway Service Area south of M3 J6

A planning application was submitted by MOTO some seven years ago and 420 public response have been logged on the BDBC website to the cycle of consultations on new documents over that time.

17/03487/FUL (Validated Nov 2017) Land Adjacent to M3J6

Construction of a new Motorway Service Area (MSA) to comprise an amenity building, lodge, drive thru coffee unit, associated car, coach, motorcycle, caravan, HGV and abnormal load parking, and a fuel filling station with retail shop, together with alterations to the adjoining roundabout on the M3 and slip roads to form an access point and works to the highway. Provision of landscaping, infrastructure and ancillary works.

A new and important consultation is now open for comment

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CONSULTATION please respond

After years of ongoing pressure it has finally been decided that the MSA proposal be subject to a full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). Planning must take into account statutory designations, and the designation of Black Dam Ponds & Crabtree Plantation as a Local Nature Reserve added some weight to the argument that environmental risk be fully examined.

This consultation therefore focuses on risk and potential damage to the natural environment resulting from a MSA in this location.

A response need only be brief but please raise any concerns you have; the closing date for consultation (on going to press) is 07 August.

Points to consider:

- 1. The MSA is proposed for an environmentally sensitive site
- 2. This is a site with shallow groundwater conditions 'a principal aquifer' which feeds the Loddon, an ecologically rare and valuable chalk stream
- 3. 'Mitigation' proposals cannot prevent contamination of the aquifer
- 4. The SuDS (Sustainable Drainage System) proposals are inappropriate as they include infiltration to ground water
- 5. Ongoing risk of oil-polluted discharges entering groundwater and the watercourses with potential for major oil spills from fuel tanks
- 6. Limited allowance for climate change and extreme weather events
- 7. Additional foul water and sewage discharge to the Loddon
- 8. Proximity of Grade I listed Hackwood Park and recently-designated Black Dam and Crabtree Local Nature Reserve (LNR)
- 9. Significant light pollution and its impact on local bat colonies
- 10 Visual impact on the surrounding area, including the LNR.

Please submit a response to the consultation via the BDBC website, by email to planning.comments@basingstoke.gov.uk, or by post to the case officer, Nicola Williams, Basingstoke & Deane Civic Offices, London Road, Basingstoke RG21 4AH, citing the case reference 17/03487/FUL

Documents produced on behalf of Moto of course seek to show that environmental impacts can be overcome, and risk mitigated. Winslade Parish has commissioned a Hydrogeologist to consider Moto's evidence. A detailed letter from Winslade (including the points above) is reproduced on the Parish Council website.